BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to)
Revoke Probation and Citation)
Against:)
)
)
DETLEF K. EHLING) Case No. 800-2019-053789
	800-2018-048841
Physician's and Surgeon's)
Certificate No. A66608) OAH No. 2019050482
	2019050512
Respondent)
· •)
	

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 30, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED July 31, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald H. Lewis, M.D., Chair

Panel A

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation and Citation Against:

DETLEF K. EHLING, M.D.,

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66608

Respondent.

Case Nos. 800-2019-053789 800-2018-048841

OAH Nos. 2019050482¹ 2019050512

PROPOSED DECISION

Administrative Law Judge Jill Schlichtmann, State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, heard these matters on June 18, 2019, in Oakland, California.

Deputy Attorney General Joshua Templet represented complainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, Executive Director, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

John Fleer, Attorney at Law, represented respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D., who was present throughout the administrative hearing.

The record closed and the matter was submitted for decision on June 18, 2019.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

Background

1. On October 2, 1998, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66608 to Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. (respondent). The certificate has been active at all times relevant here. It will expire on August 31, 2020, unless renewed.

¹ These matters were consolidated for hearing and decision.

- 2. On July 14, 2016, the Executive Director of the Board issued an accusation against respondent.
- 3. The factual circumstances underlying the accusation are as follows. On July 15, 2015, while driving near his home, respondent hit a parked car. Respondent reported that he swerved to avoid an oncoming car. He was driving at a speed low enough that the airbags did not deploy. Respondent was transported to the hospital by ambulance. When he arrived at the hospital, his blood alcohol content was measured at 0.17 percent. Respondent had no serious injuries, but remained hospitalized until July 27, 2015, while undergoing medically assisted alcohol withdrawal. Respondent reported to his treating physicians that his drinking had increased in the past year due to various stressors. He stated he had started drinking during the day, including in the morning.

On July 27, 2015, respondent was discharged to another hospital for further management of alcohol withdrawal. His diagnoses on discharge included alcohol withdrawal and alcohol dependency. Respondent was discharged from the second hospital on July 30, 2015. His discharge summary noted that he had been hospitalized for alcohol withdrawal, essential tremors and generalized weakness.

4. On February 24, 2017, respondent signed a Stipulated Settlement, which the Board adopted as its Decision and Order, effective April 27, 2017. The Decision and Order revoked respondent's certificate, stayed the revocation and placed respondent on probation for a period of five years with certain terms and conditions, including that he abstain from the use of alcohol and submit to biological fluid testing. On April 18, 2017, respondent signed an acknowledgement of Decision.

Probation Compliance

- 5. The Board assigned Probation Monitor Arlene Caballero to monitor respondent's probation compliance, and Probation Analyst Virginia Gerard to monitor respondent's compliance with the biological fluid testing requirement. Probation Analyst Elena Contreraz later replaced Gerard. The Board contracted with FirstLab to oversee the collection and analysis of biological fluid testing.
 - 6. Condition No. 7 of the disciplinary order states:

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at Respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board

may order a Respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis. The cost of biological fluid testing shall be borne by the Respondent.

During the first year of probation, Respondent shall be subject to 52 to 104 random tests. During the second year of probation and for the duration of the probationary term, up to five (5) years, Respondent shall be subject to 36 to 104 random tests per year. Only if there has been no positive biological fluid tests in the previous five (5) consecutive years of probation, may testing be reduced to one (1) time per month. Nothing precludes the Board from increasing the number of random tests to the first-year level of frequency for any reason.

Prior to practicing medicine, Respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service, approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing and meets all the following standards:

- (a) Its specimen collectors are either certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation.
- (b) Its specimen collectors conform to the current United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines.
- (c) Its testing locations comply with the Urine Specimen Collection Guidelines published by the United States Department of Transportation without regard to the type of test administered.
- (d) Its specimen collectors observe the collection of testing specimens.
- (e) Its laboratories are certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.
- (f) Its testing locations shall submit a specimen to a laboratory within one (1) business day of receipt and all specimens collected shall be handled pursuant to chain of custody

procedures. The laboratory shall process and analyze the specimens and provide legally defensible test results to the Board within seven (7) business days of receipt of the specimen. The Board will be notified of non-negative results within one (1) business day and will be notified of negative test results within seven (7) business days.

- (g) Its testing locations possess all the materials, equipment, and technical expertise necessary in order to test Respondent on any day of the week.
- (h) Its testing locations are able to scientifically test for urine, blood, and hair specimens for the detection of alcohol and illegal and controlled substances.
- (i) It maintains testing sites located throughout California.
- (j) It maintains an automated 24-hour toll-free telephone system and/or a secure on-line computer database that allows the Respondent to check in daily for testing.
- (k) It maintains a secure, HIPAA-compliant website or computer system that allows staff access to drug test results and compliance reporting information that is available 24 hours a day.
- (l) It employs or contracts with toxicologists that are licensed physicians and have knowledge of substance abuse disorders and the appropriate medical training to interpret and evaluate laboratory biological fluid test results, medical histories, and any other information relevant to biomedical information.
- (m) It will not consider a toxicology screen to be negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the Respondent holds a valid prescription for the substance.

Prior to changing testing locations for any reason, including during vacation or other travel, alternative testing locations must be approved by the Board and meet the requirements above.

The contract shall require that the laboratory directly notify the Board or its designee of non-negative results within one (1) business day and negative test results within seven (7) business days of the results becoming available. Respondent shall

maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and Respondent. If a biological fluid test result indicates Respondent has used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself or herself a prohibited substance, the Board shall order Respondent to cease practice and instruct Respondent to leave any place of work where Respondent is practicing medicine or providing medical services. The Board shall immediately notify all of Respondent's employers, supervisors and work monitors, if any, that Respondent may not practice medicine or provide medical services while the cease-practice order is in effect.

A biological fluid test will not be considered negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the practitioner holds a valid prescription for the substance. If no prohibited substance use exists, the Board shall lift the cease-practice order within one (1) business day.

After the issuance of a cease-practice order, the Board shall determine whether the positive biological fluid test is in fact evidence of prohibited substance use by consulting with the specimen collector and the laboratory, communicating with the licensee, his or her treating physician(s), other health care provider, or group facilitator, as applicable.

For purposes of this condition, the terms "biological fluid testing" and "testing" mean the acquisition and chemical analysis of a Respondent's urine, blood, breath, or hair.

For purposes of this condition, the term "prohibited substance" means an illegal drug, a lawful drug not prescribed or ordered by an appropriately licensed health care provider for use by Respondent and approved by the Board, alcohol, or any other substance the Respondent has been instructed by the Board not to use, consume, ingest, or administer to himself or herself.

If the Board confirms that a positive biological fluid test is evidence of use of a prohibited substance, Respondent has committed a major violation, as defined in section 1361.52(a), and the Board shall impose any or all of the consequences set forth in section 1361.52(b), in addition to any other terms or

conditions the Board determines are necessary for public protection or to enhance Respondent's rehabilitation.

- 7. The requirements that the specimen collectors be certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation, that the specimen collectors conform to the United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines, and that the laboratories be certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, are mandates contained in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.54.
- 8. On April 24, 2017, Gerard sent a letter to respondent further explaining the terms of his biological fluid testing condition. On page two, in bold, the letter stated:

Should you travel while on probation, you must ensure there are adequate collection site[s] available to test when selected at or near your travel destination. FirstLab can assist you with finding a collection site; however FirstLab requests you provide them with a minimum of two weeks' notice prior to traveling.

The Board does not approve travel nor will the Board excuse you from testing when traveling within the United States or out of the country. Currently, there are no collection sites contracted with FirstLab outside of the United States.

- 9. Respondent promptly enrolled with FirstLab, began checking in with FirstLab daily and submitted to urine, blood and hair follicle tests as directed.
- 10. Respondent was born and raised in Germany. On May 1, 2017, respondent wrote to Caballero, informing her that he was planning a trip to visit his mother and friends in Germany from mid-August to mid-September 2017. Respondent asked for the Board's permission to travel. Contreraz responded on May 18, 2017, stating that there were no testing locations in Europe and that the Board did not approve travel.
- 11. On June 26, 2017, respondent's attorney, John Fleer, wrote to Contreraz on respondent's behalf. Fleer asserted that the Board could not restrict respondent from traveling, and that whether respondent had consumed alcohol could be verified when he returned. Fleer asked the Board to approve the travel request.
- 12. On July 27, 2017, respondent sent a letter to Caballero advising her that he had made plans to travel to several European countries from August 18 to September 10, 2017, to see his 92-year-old ailing mother, and to visit family and friends. Respondent noted that he might be required to test during the time he was traveling. He attached a list of labs available in Germany, Austria and Hungary. Respondent stated that he had no intention of consuming alcohol while away and his abstention could be confirmed upon his return through ethyl

glucuronide testing. He noted that all of his testing had been negative for two years, and reported how important it was to visit his elderly mother.

- 13. On August 10, 2017, Contreraz responded to respondent's letter. She requested a copy of his flight itinerary. She reminded respondent that the Board did not approve travel and noted that FirstSource² did not have a contract with collection sites outside of the United States. Contreraz warned respondent that if he were called to test while out of the country, he could be subject to discipline, but that documentation of his mother's circumstances could be a mitigating factor.
- 14. Respondent traveled to Europe as planned, returning on September 10, 2017. He checked in with FirstSource each day, but was not called to test while out of the country.
- 15. On November 7, 2017, respondent submitted to a hair follicle test at the request of FirstSource. Hair follicle tests can reveal the use of alcohol or controlled substances for up to 90 days. The hair follicle test was negative for alcohol and controlled substances.
- 16. On August 18, 2018, respondent wrote to Contreraz advising her that he intended to travel to Germany in September to visit his elderly mother. He reported that his mother's health remained very volatile and had been deteriorating. Respondent planned to visit other friends and family members in other European countries as well. Respondent noted that he had been sober for three years and offered to test when he returned from his trip. Respondent provided his flight itinerary.
- 17. On August 23, 2018, Contreraz responded. She repeated that the Board did not approve travel and that there were no collection sites in Europe that were contracted with FirstSource. She reminded respondent that if he were called to test and failed to do so, he would be subject to discipline. Respondent provided his flight itinerary indicating he would be in Germany from September 4 to September 30, 2018.
- 18. On September 6, 2018, respondent sent an email message to Contreraz from Germany, notifying her that he had been called to test but was unable to test with FirstSource because he was out of the country. Respondent was called to test again on September 11 and September 21, 2018, while he remained in Europe. Respondent did not submit to testing on September 6, 11 or 21, 2018. Respondent returned on September 30, 2018.
- 19. On October 12, 2018, the Board issued a Citation Order to respondent. The citation was issued due to respondent's failure to comply with Condition No. 7, in that he had failed to provide a biological fluid sample as directed on September 6, 11 and 21, 2018. The citation imposed an administrative fine in the amount of \$500, and ordered him to comply with his probation conditions.

² FirstLab changed its name to FirstSource.

- 20. Respondent appealed the citation on October 26, 2018. An informal conference was held by Marco Armas, of the Board's Citation and Fine Program, on November 26, 2018. Following the conference, Armas denied the appeal. Respondent requested a hearing on the citation and this proceeding was scheduled.
- 21. On November 6, 2018, respondent submitted to a hair follicle test at the request of FirstSource; it was negative for alcohol and controlled substances.
- 22. On January 29, 2019, respondent sent an email message to Contreraz, notifying her that he planned to travel to Mexico from February 15 to 24, 2019. He offered to supply her with potential collection sites in Mexico, and his flight schedule.
- 23. Contreraz responded later that morning advising respondent that, as he was aware, the Board did not approve travel plans. She reminded him that if he were called to test during his vacation, the Board could take disciplinary action.
- 24. On February 12, 2019, respondent advised Caballero that after consulting with his attorney, he was proceeding with his travel plans.
- 25. On February 18, 2019, while respondent was in Mexico, he was directed to submit to biological fluid testing. Respondent did not submit to testing. On February 27, 2019, Contreraz wrote to respondent asking for a written statement explaining why he did not submit to testing. On February 28, 2019, respondent wrote to Contreraz, stating that he was unable to submit to testing because he was out of the country.
- 26. On March 13, 2019, complainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, Executive Director of the Board, issued a Cease Practice Order for violating Probation Condition No. 7, requiring him to submit to biological fluid testing. Pursuant to the order, respondent was prohibited from practicing until a clinical diagnostic evaluation was completed and 30 days of negative biological fluid testing was completed. Respondent submitted to the evaluation and to biological fluid testing as required. Respondent also filed an application for a stay of the Cease Practice Order in superior court.
- 27. On April 12, 2019, complainant, acting in her official capacity issued a petition to revoke probation against respondent.
 - 28. On April 19, 2019, complainant terminated the Cease Practice Order.
- 29. On April 30, 2019, respondent submitted to a hair follicle test at the request of FirstSource; it was negative for alcohol and controlled substances.
- 30. On May 7, 2019, respondent wrote to Contreraz advising her that he would be traveling to Germany to see his mother from May 22 to June 12, 2019. He reported that his mother's health had been deteriorating and that due to her loss of hearing he was unable to communicate with her over the telephone. Respondent also advised that he planned to spend

short periods of time in Denmark, the Netherlands, Georgia and Azerbaijan to visit friends and family. He enclosed possible testing sites in Germany and in the other countries he planned to visit. Respondent reported that he did not intend to consume alcohol during his travel, and noted that he had remained sober throughout his probationary period. Respondent reported that he was willing to test upon his return on abroad.

- 31. On May 31, 2019, respondent sent an email message to Contreraz, reporting that he was in Germany visiting his ailing mother; that he was directed to test that day, but that he had not because the Board had not approved a local testing site.
- 32. Between May 15, 2017 and May 9, 2019, respondent submitted to 90 urine tests, four hair follicle tests and eight blood tests; all have been negative for alcohol and controlled substances.

Respondent's Evidence

- 33. Respondent is 64 years old. He was born in Berlin and attended medical school there. He moved to the United States in 1988 to attend a residency in New York. He returned to Germany after completing the residency. In 1996, he returned to this country to attend a family medicine residency and has resided here since then. Respondent is board certified in family medicine and has staff privileges at John Muir Medical Center in Walnut Creek and at John Muir's Concord campus. Respondent has had a solo family medicine practice, first in Martinez, then in Concord, California, since 2002.
- 34. Respondent's father became very ill in 2014, prompting respondent to return to Germany to practice for a period of time. In 2015, he was facing various stressors, including staffing issues at his practice, financial issues and problems in his relationship. In April 2015, he began to consume more alcohol as his stress level increased. He started seeing a psychologist because he recognized he was drinking too much, especially on weekends. Respondent denies ever drinking while working or before going to work.
- 35. Following his accident on July 18, 2015, respondent agreed to remain in the hospital for detoxification, and to seek treatment for his alcohol issues. After being discharged, and while awaiting entry into a treatment facility, his health deteriorated, and he was hospitalized with sepsis due to an infection that occurred during the treatment following his accident. After a stay in the intensive care unit, he was transferred to a nursing/rehabilitation facility to recover.
- 36. Respondent reports that following his discharge from the rehabilitation facility he contacted residential substance abuse treatment facilities, but because he had been sober for more than one month by then, he was referred to outpatient treatment. Respondent reports having met with an alcohol counselor twice per week initially through Weyland Consultation Services, and participating in urine testing. All urine screens were negative.

- 37. Respondent's brother, Jurgen Ehling, wrote a letter for the Board's consideration dated May 10, 2019. He is a retired cardiologist residing in Germany. Ehling confirms that respondent's mother is 94 years old, resides in an assisted living facility, and suffers from severe congestive heart failure, severe hearing loss and early dementia. Ehling reports further that seeing her two sons is important to her.
- 38. Respondent testified credibly that he has not consumed alcohol since July 18, 2015, the date of his accident. Respondent submitted to hair follicle tests within two months of his travel to Europe in 2017, within 40 days of traveling to Europe in 2018, and within 65 days of traveling to Mexico in 2019, substantiating his claim that he has remained sober during his travel.
- 39. Respondent has submitted to biological testing more than 100 times and has never had a positive screen. Respondent has complied with all probation conditions, except for having missed tests while traveling.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The burden of proof in this matter is on the Board and the standard of proof is a preponderance of the evidence. (Sandarg v. Dental Bd. of California (2010) 184 Cal.App.4th 1434, 1441; Owen v. Sands (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 985.)
- 2. The purpose of the Medical Practice Act³ is to assure the high quality of medical practice; in other words to keep unqualified persons and those guilty of unprofessional conduct out of the medical profession. (Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 574.) The purpose of physician discipline is to protect the public and to aid in the rehabilitation of licensees. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2229.)
- 3. Complainant seeks to impose discipline on respondent's California certificate based on his failure to submit to biological fluid testing while traveling abroad. The Stipulation and Order clearly requires respondent to submit to biological fluid testing upon demand; and to submit samples at facilities described in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.54. The Board contracts with FirstSource to collect samples from authorized sites and to analyze samples and report the results to the Board. FirstSource has no contracts with collection sites outside of the United States. Respondent offered to submit to testing at collection sites in Europe, but did not establish that those sites meet the guidelines set forth in section 1361.54.
- 4. Respondent argues that Condition No. 7 violates his constitutional rights as follows: 1) the Board is restricting respondent's freedom to travel, which is a basic human right; 2) broad travel restrictions are unconstitutional; 3) travel is not an offense; and 4) the Board's

³ Business and Professions Code sections 2000 through 2521.

travel limitation is not tailored to the benefit of respondent, citing *People v. Bauer* (1989) 211 Cal.App.3d 937.

Because the content of Condition No. 7 is contained in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.54, respondent is essentially requesting the Board to declare its own regulation unconstitutional. The *Bauer* court held that imposing a probation condition that restricted the defendant's residence to a location approved by his probation officer affected the defendant's constitutional rights to travel and his freedom of association. However, as noted in *People v. Arevalo* (2018) 19 Cal.App.5th 652, courts have authority to restrict these rights if the restriction is reasonably necessary to meet the twin goals of rehabilitation and protection of the public. The *Arevalo* court was also mindful that probation was a privilege and not a right; the defendant could choose serving time in custody as opposed to accepting probation, just as respondent could have surrendered his license in lieu of accepting probation. Respondent voluntarily signed the Stipulated Settlement that became the Disciplinary Order. The biological fluid testing requirements were spelled out in the document that respondent stipulated to and signed.

It is noted at the outset that the Board has not restricted respondent's ability to travel. The Board does not approve or disapprove travel by probationers. Indeed, in 2017, respondent traveled to Europe after notifying the Board and the Board took no action. The Board only took action thereafter because respondent failed to submit to biological fluid testing when directed.

Even if the requirement that he submit to testing were considered to be a restriction on travel because no collection sites have been identified outside of the country that meet the requirements of section 1361.54, the restriction is reasonably necessary to meet the goals of respondent's rehabilitation and the protection of the public. Respondent is on probation to the Board because he abused alcohol. The Board has reasonably required respondent to submit to biological fluid testing to protect the public. The requirements contained in section 1361.54 require that the testing comply with standards to ensure its reliability. The Board has a significant interest in assuring that substance abusing licensees are tested under the guidelines set forth in section 1361.54 in order to enforce its primary responsibility: ensuring public protection. Respondent's constitutional challenge to section 1361.54 is rejected.

Respondent was required to test on September 6, September 11 and September 21, 2018, and February 18, 2019. The evidence established that he did not test on those dates as required. Respondent is therefore in violation of his probation terms; cause for issuance of the citation and to revoke probation has been established.

The question remains whether respondent's probation should be revoked or extended, whether no discipline is warranted, or whether a public reprimand should issue pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). The Board's concern is whether respondent poses a threat to the public. The evidence did not establish that respondent has failed to abstain from alcohol consumption; to the contrary, he testified credibly that he has not consumed alcohol since his traffic accident on July 18, 2015, and all

screens, over 100 since 2017, have been negative. Respondent submitted to hair follicle testing as requested within 90 days of his 2017 and 2018 trips to Europe and his 2019 trip to Mexico; those tests revealed no evidence of alcohol use during those travel periods. Respondent has complied with all other probation requirements.

Revocation is not warranted under these circumstances. However, the citation will be sustained, and a public reprimand issued in response to the petition to revoke probation. This will serve to remind respondent that he is required to comply with his probation conditions and that his future failure to do so will again expose him to disciplinary action in the absence of compelling evidence in mitigation. The appropriate avenue for respondent to seek Board approval for biological fluid testing abroad is to file a petition to modify his probation terms.

ORDER

- 1. Citation Order No. 800-2018-048841, issued to Detlef K. Ehling, M.D., holder of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66608, is sustained. Respondent is ordered to maintain compliance with all terms and conditions of the Decision and Order, issued by the Board effective April 27, 2017.
- 2. Respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D., is hereby publicly reprimanded pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4).

DATED: June 27, 2019

- DocuSigned by:

Jill Schlichtmann

JILL SCHLICHTMANN

Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
2	MARY CAIN-SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General	FILED
3	JOSHUA M. TEMPLET Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	State Bar No. 267098 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SAGRAMENTO LONIA 12 20 19
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	BX MALYST
6	Telephone: (415) 510-3533 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	E-mail: Joshua.Templet@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	Panon	
9	BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD	
10	DEPARTMENT OF C STATE OF C	
11		
12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-053789
14	DETLEF K. EHLING, M.D.	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16	2299 Bacon Street, Suite T Concord, CA 94520 Physician Is and Sungaryla Contistants	·
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66608	
18	Respondent.	
19		·
20	Complainant alleges:	-
21	PAR'	<u>ries</u>
22	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely
23	in her official capacity as the Executive Director	of the Medical Board of California, Departmen
24	of Consumer Affairs.	
25	2. On or about October 2, 1998, the Boa	rd issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
26	Number A 66608 to Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. (Resp	condent). The certificate was in full force and
27	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	herein and will expire on August 31, 2020,
28	unless renewed.	

--

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2004 provides that the Board shall have the responsibility for the enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2227 provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 6. Section 2228 states:

The authority of the board or the California Board of Podiatric Medicine to discipline a licensee by placing him or her on probation includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Requiring the licensee to obtain additional professional training and to pass an examination upon the completion of the training. The examination may be written or oral, or both, and may be a practical or clinical examination, or both, at the option of the board or the administrative law judge.
- (b) Requiring the licensee to submit to a complete diagnostic examination by one or more physicians and surgeons appointed by the board. If an examination is ordered, the board shall receive and consider any other report of a complete diagnostic examination given by one or more physicians and surgeons of the licensee's choice.
- (c) Restricting or limiting the extent, scope, or type of practice of the licensee, including requiring notice to applicable patients that the licensee is unable to perform the indicated treatment, where appropriate.
- (d) Providing the option of alternative community service in cases other than violations relating to quality of care.
- 7. Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.52, states:
- (a) A licensee who does any of the following shall be deemed to have committed a major violation of his or her probation:
 - (5) Fails to undergo biological fluid testing when ordered;
- (b) If a licensee commits a major violation, the Board will take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order the licensee to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation at the expense of the licensee. Any order issued by the Board pursuant to this subsection shall state that the licensee must test negative for at least a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice.
- (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer the licensee for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board.
- (e) Nothing in this section shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke the probation of a licensee who has violated a term or condition of that probation.

APRIL 27, 2017 DISCIPLINARY DECISION

8. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against Detlef K. Ehling, M.D.," Case No. 800-2015-016011, the Board issued a decision, effective April 27, 2017, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked, based on his excessive use of alcohol and his impairment due to alcohol dependency. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of five years with certain terms and conditions, including conditions requiring him to abstain from the use of alcohol and to submit to biological fluid testing. A copy of the decision is attached as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated by reference.

FACTS

- 9. On April 24, 2017, the Board analyst assigned to monitor Respondent's compliance with the biological fluid testing required as a condition of his probation sent Respondent a letter introducing herself and reviewing the testing procedures. The letter stated that no biological fluid collection sites were available outside of the United States and that the Board would not excuse Respondent from testing while traveling, whether within the United States or abroad.
- 10. On January 29, 2019, Respondent sent an e-mail to the Board analyst assigned to monitor his biological fluid testing. Respondent stated that would be travelling to Mexico from February 15, 2019 until February 24, 2019. The Board analyst sent a response to Respondent, reminding him that, as a condition of probation, he was required to submit a biological fluid sample when selected for testing. The analyst further reminded Respondent that there were no

testing locations outside of the United States. The analyst warned Respondent that if he were selected to test while abroad, he could violate his conditions of probation and subject himself to disciplinary action.

- 11. Respondent vacationed in Mexico from February 15, 2019 until February 24, 2019. On February 18, 2019, Respondent was randomly selected to provide a biological fluid sample and failed to provide a sample.
- 12. On March 13, 2019, the Board issued a Cease Practice Order against Respondent for his failure to provide a biological fluid sample on February 18, 2019, in violation of his probation. The order prohibits Respondent from practicing medicine and provides that Respondent "shall not resume the practice of medicine until completion of a clinical diagnostic evaluation, at his own expense, and thirty (30) days of negative biological fluid tests, indicating he has not used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself a prohibited substance, or until a final decision has been issued on an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation filed pursuant to this matter."

CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Biological Fluid Testing)

13. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 7 (Biological Fluid Testing) requires Respondent to submit biological fluid samples for testing upon request by the designated testing laboratory. Condition 7 states, in part, as follows:

Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order Respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis.

14. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 10 (Violation of Probation Condition for Substance Abusing Licensees) provides that failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. Condition 10 further states as follows:

.27

A. If Respondent commits a major violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (a), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order Respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5. subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at Respondent's expense. The cease-practice order issued by the Board or its designee shall state that Respondent must test negative for at least a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice. For purposes of the [sic] determining the length of time a Respondent must test negative while undergoing continuous biological fluid testing following issuance of a cease-practice order, a month is defined as thirty calendar (30) days. Respondent may not resume the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that he or
- (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer Respondent for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to provide a biological fluid sample when selected to do so on February 18, 2019, thereby violating Conditions 7 and 10 of his probation and Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.52, subsection (a)(5) (failure to undergo biological fluid testing when ordered). Respondent's conduct constitutes a major violation of his probation within the meaning of Condition 10 of his probation and Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1361.52, and his probation is therefore subject to

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board in Case No. 800-2015-016011 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66608 issued to Respondent;
- Revoking, suspending, or denying approval of Respondent's authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

///

28

1	3. Ordering Respondent, if pla	aced on further probation, to pay the Board the costs of
2	probation monitoring;	
3	4. Taking such other and furth	ner action as deemed necessary and proper.
4		
5		
6.	DATED: April 12, 2019	Lindeldar Daniland
7		KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
8		Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affeirs
9		Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant
10		Сотрациин
11	SE2010200C04	
12	SF2019200624 13609381.docx	
13		
14		
15		
16	·	
17		
18		
19	·	
- 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
28		
- 11	1	

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2015-016011

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	
DETLEF K. EHLING, M.D.)	Case No. 8002015016011
Physician's and Surgeon's)	•
Certificate No. A66608)	
Respondent)	
	, <i>)</i>	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 27, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED: March 28, 2017.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Jamie Wright, J.D., Chair

Panel A

	1	
1	XAVIER BECERRA	·
2		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEITH C. SHAW	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 227029	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
. 6	Telephone: (415) 703-5385 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
	11	RE THE
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-016011
11		OAH No. 2016090704
12	DETLEF K. EHLING, M.D. 2299 Bacon Street #1	012210020100
13	Concord, CA 94520	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
14.	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A66608	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
15		
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG	REED by and between the parties to the above-
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	re true:
20	PAF	RTIES
21	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
22	of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in	
23	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Keith C. Shaw,	
24	Deputy Attorney General.	
25	2. Respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D.	is represented in this proceeding by attorney John
26	Fleer, Esq., whose address is: Law Offices of Jol	hn L. Fleer, 1850 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite 120,
27	Walnut Creek, CA 94596	
28		
		•

3. On or about October 2, 1998, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A66608 to Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2015-016011, and will expire on August 31, 2016, unless renewed.

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

4. Accusation No. 800-2015-016011 was filed before the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 14, 2016. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2015-016011 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-016011. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-016011, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2015-016011 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A66608 issued to Respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to Respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawfully prescribed medications, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name, strength, and quantity; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

If Respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for any substance (whether or not legally prescribed) and has not reported the use to the Board or its designee, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until the final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 30 days of the notification to cease practice. If the Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. If the case is heard by an Administrative Law Judge alone, he or she shall forward a Proposed Decision to the Board within 15 days of submission of the matter.

Within 15 days of receipt by the Board of the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Decision, the Board shall issue its Decision, unless good cause can be shown for the delay. If the case is heard by the Board, the Board shall issue its Decision within 15 days of submission of the case, unless good cause can be shown for the delay. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, non-adoption

7

10

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

2526

. 27

28

of the Proposed Decision, request for reconsideration, remands and other interlocutory orders issued by the Board. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 30 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of a such a request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

2. <u>ALCOHOL - ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

If Respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for alcohol, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until the final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation is effective. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 30 days of the notification to cease practice. If the Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. If the case is heard by an Administrative Law Judge alone, he or she shall forward a Proposed Decision to the Board within 15 days of submission of the matter. Within 15 days of receipt by the Board of the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Decision, the Board shall issue its Decision, unless good cause can be shown for the delay. If the case is heard by the Board, the Board shall issue its Decision within 15 days of submission of the case, unless good cause can be shown for the delay. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, non-adoption of the Proposed Decision, request for reconsideration, remands and other interlocutory orders issued by the Board. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 30 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of a such a request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

3. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of

the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.

Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION</u>. At the Board's discretion, and on whatever periodic basis that may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist, who shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

5.

Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology and at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders. Upon approval, Respondent shall

PSYCHOTHERAPY. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision,

psychotherapy, until the Board or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary.

undergo and continue psychotherapy treatment, including any modifications to the frequency of

The psychotherapist shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychotherapist deems relevant and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Respondent shall cooperate in providing the psychotherapist any information and documents that the psychotherapist may deem pertinent.

Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee. The Board or its designee may require Respondent to undergo psychiatric evaluations by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist. If, prior to the completion of probation, Respondent is found to be mentally unfit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over Respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that Respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions.

Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychotherapy and psychiatric evaluations.

6. NOTICE OF EMPLOYER OR SUPERVISOR INFORMATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall provide to the Board the names, physical addresses, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers of any and all employers and supervisors. Respondent shall also provide specific, written consent for the Board, Respondent's worksite monitor, and Respondent's employers and supervisors to communicate regarding Respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring.

For purposes of this section, "supervisors" shall include the Chief of Staff and Health or Well Being Committee Chair, or equivalent, if applicable, when Respondent has medical staff privileges.

7. <u>BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING.</u> Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at Respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order Respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis. The cost of biological fluid testing shall be borne by the Respondent.

For the duration of the probationary term, up to five (5) years, Respondent shall be subject to 36 to 104 random tests per year. Only if there has been no positive biological fluid tests in the previous five (5) consecutive years of probation, may testing be reduced to one (1) time per month. Nothing precludes the Board from increasing the number of random tests to the first-year level of frequency for any reason, which is 52 to 104 random tests per year.

Prior to practicing medicine, Respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service, approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing and meets all the following standards:

- (a) Its specimen collectors are either certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation.
- (b) Its specimen collectors conform to the current United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines
- (c) Its testing locations comply with the Urine Specimen Collection Guidelines published by the United States Department of Transportation without regard to the type of test administered.
- (d) Its specimen collectors observe the collection of testing specimens.
- (e) Its laboratories are certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health

and Human Services.

- (f) Its testing locations shall submit a specimen to a laboratory within one (1) business day of receipt and all specimens collected shall be handled pursuant to chain of custody procedures. The laboratory shall process and analyze the specimens and provide legally defensible test results to the Board within seven (7) business days of receipt of the specimen. The Board will be notified of non-negative results within one (1) business day and will be notified of negative test results within seven (7) business days.
- (g) Its testing locations possess all the materials, equipment, and technical expertise necessary in order to test Respondent on any day of the week.
- (h) Its testing locations are able to scientifically test for urine, blood, and hair specimens for the detection of alcohol and illegal and controlled substances.
- (i) It maintains testing sites located throughout California.
- (j) It maintains an automated 24-hour toll-free telephone system and/or a secure on-line computer database that allows the Respondent to check in daily for testing.
- (k) It maintains a secure, HIPAA-compliant website or computer system that allows staff access to drug test results and compliance reporting information that is available 24 hours a day.
- (l) It employs or contracts with toxicologists that are licensed physicians and have knowledge of substance abuse disorders and the appropriate medical training to interpret and evaluate laboratory biological fluid test results, medical histories, and any other information relevant to biomedical information.
- (m) It will not consider a toxicology screen to be negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the Respondent holds a valid prescription for the substance.

Prior to changing testing locations for any reason, including during vacation or other travel, alternative testing locations must be approved by the Board and meet the requirements above.

The contract shall require that the laboratory directly notify the Board or its designee of non-negative results within one (1) business day and negative test results within seven (7) business days of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or

13.

service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and Respondent.

If a biological fluid test result indicates Respondent has used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself or herself a prohibited substance, the Board shall order Respondent to cease practice and instruct Respondent to leave any place of work where Respondent is practicing medicine or providing medical services. The Board shall immediately notify all of Respondent's employers, supervisors and work monitors, if any, that Respondent may not practice medicine or provide medical services while the cease-practice order is in effect.

A biological fluid test will not be considered negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the practitioner holds a valid prescription for the substance. If no prohibited substance use exists, the Board shall lift the cease-practice order within one (1) business day.

After the issuance of a cease-practice order, the Board shall determine whether the positive biological fluid test is in fact evidence of prohibited substance use by consulting with the specimen collector and the laboratory, communicating with the licensee, his or her treating physician(s), other health care provider, or group facilitator, as applicable.

For purposes of this condition, the terms "biological fluid testing" and "testing" mean the acquisition and chemical analysis of a Respondent's urine, blood, breath, or hair.

For purposes of this condition, the term "prohibited substance" means an illegal drug, a lawful drug not prescribed or ordered by an appropriately licensed health care provider for use by Respondent and approved by the Board, alcohol, or any other substance Respondent has been instructed by the Board not to use, consume, ingest, or administer to himself or herself.

If the Board confirms that a positive biological fluid test is evidence of use of a prohibited substance, Respondent has committed a major violation, as defined in section 1361.52(a), and the Board shall impose any or all of the consequences set forth in section 1361.52(b), in addition to any other terms or conditions the Board determines are necessary for public protection or to enhance Respondent's rehabilitation.

8. SUBSTANCE ABUSE SUPPORT GROUP MEETINGS. Within thirty (30) days of

the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for its prior approval, the name of a substance abuse support group which he shall attend for the duration of probation. Respondent shall attend substance abuse support group meetings at least once per week, or as ordered by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay all substance abuse support group meeting costs.

The facilitator of the substance abuse support group meeting shall have a minimum of three (3) years experience in the treatment and rehabilitation of substance abuse, and shall be licensed or certified by the state or nationally certified organizations. The facilitator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with Respondent within the last five (5) years. Respondent's previous participation in a substance abuse group support meeting led by the same facilitator does not constitute a prohibited current or former financial, personal, or business relationship.

The facilitator shall provide a signed document to the Board or its designee showing Respondent's name, the group name, the date and location of the meeting, Respondent's attendance, and Respondent's level of participation and progress. The facilitator shall report any unexcused absence by Respondent from any substance abuse support group meeting to the Board, or its designee, within twenty-four (24) hours of the unexcused absence.

9. WORKSITE MONITOR FOR SUBSTANCE-ABUSING LICENSEE. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a worksite monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physician and surgeon, other licensed health care professional if no physician and surgeon is available, or, as approved by the Board or its designee, a person in a position of authority who is capable of monitoring the Respondent at work.

The worksite monitor shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or familial relationship with Respondent, or any other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render impartial and unbiased reports to the Board or its designee. If it is impractical for anyone but Respondent's employer to serve as the worksite monitor, this requirement may be waived by the Board or its designee, however, under no

circumstances shall Respondent's worksite monitor be an employee or supervisee of the licensee.

The worksite monitor shall have an active unrestricted license with no disciplinary action within the last five (5) years, and shall sign an affirmation that he or she has reviewed the terms and conditions of Respondent's disciplinary order and agrees to monitor Respondent as set forth by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all worksite monitoring costs.

The worksite monitor shall have face-to-face contact with Respondent in the work environment on as frequent a basis as determined by the Board or its designee, but not less than once per week; interview other staff in the office regarding Respondent's behavior, if requested by the Board or its designee; and review Respondent's work attendance.

The worksite monitor shall verbally report any suspected substance abuse to the Board and Respondent's employer or supervisor within one (1) business day of occurrence. If the suspected substance abuse does not occur during the Board's normal business hours, the verbal report shall be made to the Board or its designee within one (1) hour of the next business day. A written report that includes the date, time, and location of the suspected abuse; Respondent's actions; and any other information deemed important by the worksite monitor shall be submitted to the Board or its designee within 48 hours of the occurrence.

The worksite monitor shall complete and submit a written report monthly or as directed by the Board or its designee which shall include the following: (1) Respondent's name and Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number; (2) the worksite monitor's name and signature; (3) the worksite monitor's license number, if applicable; (4) the location or location(s) of the worksite; (5) the dates Respondent had face-to-face contact with the worksite monitor; (6) the names of worksite staff interviewed, if applicable; (7) a report of Respondent's work attendance; (8) any change in Respondent's behavior and/or personal habits; and (9) any indicators that can lead to suspected substance abuse by Respondent. Respondent shall complete any required consent forms and execute agreements with the approved worksite monitor and the Board, or its designee, authorizing the Board, or its designee, and worksite monitor to exchange information.

If the worksite monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within five (5)

12.

calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within fifteen (15) calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

- 10. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION CONDITION FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSING</u>
 <u>LICENSEES</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation.
- A. If Respondent commits a major violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (a), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order Respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at Respondent's expense. The cease-practice order issued by the Board or its designee shall state that Respondent must test negative for at least a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice. For purposes of the determining the length of time a Respondent must test negative while undergoing continuous biological fluid testing following issuance of a cease-practice order, a month is defined as thirty calendar (30) days. Respondent may not resume the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that he or she may do so.
 - (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer Respondent for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- B. If Respondent commits a minor violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (c), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or

more of the following actions:

- (1) Issue a cease-practice order;
- (2) Order practice limitations;
- (3) Order or increase supervision of Respondent;
- (4) Order increased documentation;
- (5) Issue a citation and fine, or a warning letter;
- (6) Order Respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at Respondent's expense;
 - (7) Take any other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- C. Nothing in this Decision shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke Respondent's probation if he or she has violated any term or condition of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 11. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

12. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u>. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.

- 13. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 14. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

15. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 16. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 17. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Board's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent, living outside of California, will relieve

Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 18. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 19. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 20. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 21. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u>. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of

1	California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar		
2	year.		
3	ACCEPTANCE		
4	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully		
5	discussed it with my attorney, John Fleer, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will		
6	have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and		
. 7	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the		
8	Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.		
9			
10	DATED: 2/29/2017		
11	DETLEF K. EHLING, M.D. Respondent		
12	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. the terms and		
13	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.		
14	I approve its form and content.		
15			
16	DATED: 2/24/17 Sent		
17	JOHN FLEER, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent		
18			
19			
20			
21	•		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

SF2016200956 41705146.doc

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: Feb. 24, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JANE ZACK SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit $\acute{\mathbf{A}}$

Accusation No. 800-2015-016011

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	JANE ZACK SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	Kerry Weisel Deputy Attorney General	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
4	State Bar No. 127522 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	SACRAMENTO (Suly 14 20 //c EN ANALYST
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	The state of the s
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5554 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Kerry.Weisel@doj.ca.gov	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE O OF CALIFORNIA
9.	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-016011
12	Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. 2485 High School Ave Ste 208	ACCUSATION
13.	Concord CA 94520	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A66608,	
15	Respondent.	
16	- Teopondonia	,
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PAR	TIES
20	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	brings this Accusation solely in her official
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medica	l Board of California, Department of Consumer
22	Affairs (Board).	,
23	2. On October 2, 1998, the Medical Bo	ard issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
24	Number A66608 to Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's	
25	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on August 31, 2016, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	<i>III</i>	
		1

(DETLEF K. EHLING, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2015-016011

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part: "The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]

££ 59

- 5. Section 2239 of the Code states:
- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely . . . constitutes unprofessional conduct.

66 H

6. Section 822 of the Code states:

"If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

- "(a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.
- "(b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.
- "(c) Placing the licentiate on probation.
- "(d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

"The licensing agency shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its

action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated."

FACTS

- 7. On July 18, 2015, while driving near his home, Respondent hit a parked car.
 Respondent says that he hit the car when he swerved to avoid an oncoming car. He was driving at a low enough speed that the airbags did not deploy. He was transported to San Francisco General Hospital ("SFGH") by ambulance.
- 8. When Respondent arrived at the hospital shortly after noon, his blood alcohol level was measured at 170 ml/dL or 0.17%. Respondent had no serious injuries but remained hospitalized at San Francisco General Hospital until July 27, 2015 while he underwent medically assisted alcohol withdrawal.
- 9. Respondent reported to his treating physicians that his drinking had increased in the past year due to various stressors. He said he had started drinking during the day including in the morning.
- 10. On July 27, 2015, Respondent was discharged from SFGH to California Pacific Medical Center ("CPMC") for further management of alcohol withdrawal. His diagnoses on discharge from SFGH included alcohol withdrawal and alcohol dependency.
- 11. Respondent remained at CPMC until July 30, 2015. His discharge summary from CPMC noted that he had been hospitalized for alcohol withdrawal, essential tremors, and generalized weakness.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Excessive Use of Alcohol)

12. Respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under sections 2234 and/or 2239 of the Code in that he used alcoholic beverages, as described above, to the extent that it was dangerous to himself and others when he drove a car with a blood alcohol level of 0.17% and to the extent that such use impaired his ability to practice medicine safely.

///

1 (Impairment Due to Alcohol Dependency) 2 Respondent Detlef K. Ehling, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 822 3 of the Code in that, unless he remains abstinent from alcohol with ongoing addiction treatment, 4 his alcohol dependency will affect his ability to practice medicine safely. 5 PRAYER 6 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged. 7 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: 8 Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A66608, 9 issued to Detlef K. Ehling, M.D.; 10 Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Detlef K. Ehling, M.D.'s authority to 2. 11 supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 12 Ordering Detlef K. Ehling, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of 3. 13 probation monitoring; and 14 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 15 16 17 DATED: July 14, 2016 18 Executive Director Medical Board of California 19 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 20 Complainant 21 SF2016200956 22 41538232.doc 23 24 25 26 27

28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE